Physics 581Quantum Mechanics IIP. M. Goldbart, 3135 ESBHandout 12webusers.physics.illinois.edu/~goldbartUniversity of Illinois10 March 2010HOMEWORK 7goldbart@illinois.edu

1) Permutation operators (optional): The permutation operator \hat{P}_A associated with the permutation

$$A \longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & N \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_N \end{pmatrix}$$

acts in the following way: $\hat{P}_A|\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_N) = |\mathbf{x}_{\bar{a}_1},\ldots,\mathbf{x}_{\bar{a}_N})$, where

| $A^{-1} \longleftrightarrow$ | (1) | 2 | • • • | N |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|----------------|
| | $\langle \bar{a}_1$ | \bar{a}_2 | ••• | \bar{a}_N). |

- a) Prove that the permutation operator \hat{P} is unitary.
- b) Show that for a hamiltonian \hat{H} of a system of identical particles, and a permutation operator \hat{P} , the following relation holds: $\hat{P}\hat{H}\hat{P}^{-1} = \hat{H}$.
- c) Show that if $|\psi\rangle$ is a nondegenerate eigenket of a hamiltonian H for a system of identical particles, then $|\psi\rangle$ is either symmetric or antisymmetric under all pairwise exchanges.

2) Noninteracting particles: A single-particle quantum mechanical system possesses a Hilbert space spanned by three orthonormal eigenkets. Three particles occupy these states. How many distinct physical states are there if the three particles are:

- a) Three identical fermions?
- b) Three identical bosons?
- c) Two identical fermions and a boson?
- d) Two identical bosons and a fermion?
- e) Three distinguishable fermions?
- f) Three distinguishable bosons?

3) Identical spin-3/2 fermions: Consider 3 identical spin-3/2 fermions, for which spin and orbital degrees of freedom are not coupled. How many independent energy eigenstates are there associated with an *orbital* wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3)$ that is totally symmetric under permutation of its arguments?

4) Identical particles: Determine the conditions under which the following hamiltonians describe identical particles:

a) Two spin-1/2 degrees of freedom with

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{3} \hat{S}_{\mu}^{(1)} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \hat{S}_{\nu}^{(2)},$$

where $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ are the arbitrary complex elements of a rank-2 tensor.

b) Three spin-1 bosons with

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{(i)}|^2}{2m^{(i)}} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma^{(i)} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{(i)}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)}) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} W^{(ij)}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}^{(j)}).$$

5) Exchange interaction: In this question we will examine the exchange interaction, introduced in class. Consider two electrons in an atom and neglect (i) spin-orbit coupling for each electron, and (ii) the electron-electron interaction. Suppose that the particles occupy the two orbitals $\phi_1(\mathbf{r})$ and $\phi_2(\mathbf{r})$. Electrons are spin-1/2 particles: to each one we associate a spin observable $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$.

- a) By including both spin and spatial degrees of freedom, build a basis of the possible physical states.
- b) The electron-electron interaction \hat{U} is spin-independent and translationally invariant. What does this tell us about its matrix elements?
- c) By treating the electron-electron interaction to first order in perturbation theory, show that an effective hamiltonian for this set of states takes the form

$$\hat{H} = A\hat{\mathbf{I}} - \frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{I}} + \frac{4}{\hbar^2} \,\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{(1)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{(2)} \right).$$

What are A and J in terms of the orbital functions and the electron-electron interaction?

d) Now consider the four spin functions alone, and the action on them of the operator

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{4}{\hbar^2}\,\mathbf{S}^{(1)}\cdot\mathbf{S}^{(2)}\right).$$

Why is this operator called the exchange operator?

6) Real valued vectors and tensors: In this question we are going to consider the real-valued vectors and tensors with which you are familiar. However we shall use a notation which should help to illuminate the notation we have been using for Hilbert spaces in quantum mechanics.

Consider a d-dimensional linear vector space. Normally we would write an arbitrary vector as \mathbf{t} . It is a linear combination of unit vectors,

$$\mathbf{t} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} t_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mu},$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mu}\}$ is an orthonormal set of basis vectors and

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$$

Let us call this linear vector space G_1 .

Simply change the notation:

$$\mathbf{t} \rightarrow |t\rangle$$
, an arbitrary vector;
 $\mathbf{e}_{\mu} \rightarrow |\mu\rangle$, a basis vector;
 $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{s} = \langle t | s \rangle$, an inner product.

Now consider the tensor

$$\sigma = \sum_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \, \mathbf{e}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\nu}.$$

The set of tensors G_2 is spanned by the basis $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\nu}\}$. Instead, we shall write

. .

$$|\sigma\rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} |\mu\rangle \otimes |\nu\rangle = \sum_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} |\mu,\nu\rangle.$$

Scalar products are defined by

$$(\mathbf{e}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\nu}) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_{\rho} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\tau}) = (\langle \mu | \otimes \langle \nu |) (|\rho\rangle \otimes |\tau\rangle) = \langle \mu | \rho \rangle \langle \nu | \tau \rangle = \delta_{\mu\rho} \, \delta_{\nu\tau}.$$

- a) Evaluate $\langle \sigma | \omega \rangle$ in terms of the components $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ and $\omega_{\mu\nu}$.
- b) How many real numbers are required to parametrise elements of G_2 ?
- c) Symmetric tensors are the elements of G_2 for which $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\nu\mu}$. How many real numbers are required to parametrise an arbitrary symmetric tensor?
- d) How many elements are there in a basis for the symmetric tensors?
- e) Write down a basis for the symmetric tensors for the case d = 3 in terms of the tensors $|\mu, \nu\rangle$.
- f) Show that an arbitrary element of G_2 can be written as the sum of two pieces, one symmetric and one antisymmetric. Can this be done for an arbitrary element of G_3 , where G_3 is defined as the obvious extension of G_1 and G_2 ?